

Agenda with Minutes

Technical Advisory Board

Thu Jan 16 2014 13:00:00 GMT+0100 (CET)

Attendees (7)

Robin Benjamins (chair)

Kari Anne Haaland Thorsen (co-chair)

Mark Palmer

Manoj Dharwadkar

Magne Valen-Sendstad

Nils Sandsmark (observing)

Håvard M. Ottestad (minutes)

Minutes

1 Status on development procedure and promotion of content from development endpoint

How and when content will get into the main rdl.

Lillian shows the RDS Maintenance Procedures:

<https://www.posccaesar.org/wiki/RdsMaintenanceProcedure>

PCA is still working on good documentation for the simple requirements, p2 entity type, superclass...

The Geo Sig content is still in the phase of complying with these requirements.

Once both the documentation is done and the Geo Sig content passes validation, then the next step is checking the semantics of the CR (change request). Eg. is that the correct superclass. For this there needs to be a review team with both people familiar with the CR and also external people who are domain experts and have not participated in the CR.

To the community, this process still seems like a black box.

Some discussion on the use of the Geo Sig sandbox. It should contain the CR until it is moved into the RDL. The CR should be stable and correct.

Action (1 2014-01-16): Create an information page on the PCA website detailing the process for data submission to the RDL.

The CR handling process should be open. PCA has a ticket system (<https://www.posccaesar.org/report>) which should be used.

All reference data is usable from day 1, when it goes into the PCA RDL it has more weight (because of the review process and validation requirements).

Automated validation is being worked on. The validation is currently for checking RDF syntax and the existence of things like entity type, superclass... Not checking if it has the correct entity type or correct superclass.

2 SIG Roadmap and 15926 Landscape

Discussion on the difference between the roadmap and the landscape.

3 Coordination with ISO on RDL delivery

UOM(Unit Of Measure) brief by Mark Palmer.

Magne has some comments.

Does the TAB see that other groups could have stewardship over parts of the RDL that they are domain experts in?

Action (2 2014-01-16): Magne and Nils will discuss options for participating in the ISO 80000 alignment review for the UOM classes. Contact Mark about combining resources.

4 A.O.B

These kinds of "extra" meetings are good for handling important matters.

Should continue to be used.

Actions

Action (1 2014-01-16): Create an information page on the PCA website detailing the process for data submission to the RDL.

Action (2 2014-01-16): Magne and Nils will discuss options for participating in the ISO 80000 alignment review for the UOM classes. Contact Mark about combining resources.